

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/700,311	11/13/2000	Martin Holzapfel	P00,1796	3652	
29177 7	29177 7590 07/20/2004		EXAMINER		
BELL, BOYD & LLOYD, LLC			ARMSTRONG, ANGELA A		
P. O. BOX 1135 CHICAGO, IL 60690-1135			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ŕ			2654	8	
			DATE MAILED: 07/20/2004	8	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
			•					
Office Action Summary		09/700,31		HOLZAPFEL, MARTIN  Art Unit				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Examiner	A	2654				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	Angela A.						
Period fo		appears on are	tover sheet war are t	oonespondence address				
THE - External after of the control	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a representation of the provision of	N. 1.136(a). In no evereply within the state od will apply and witute, cause the apply	ent, however, may a reply be tin utory minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	mely filed  ys will be considered timely.  the mailing date of this communication.  CO (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	November 2	000.					
2a)□		his action is n						
3)								
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application	on.						
٠,٣	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.							
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
i i	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9) 又	The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.						
	The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 November 2000</u> is		cepted or b) objec	ted to by the Examiner.				
<i>,</i> —	Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. No	te the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)🔯	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).				
-	⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:		•	, , , , ,				
,	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have bee	n received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume			ion No				
	3.⊠ Copies of the certified copies of the pr	riority docume	ents have been receiv	ed in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bure	-						
* (	See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certi	fied copies not receive	ed.				
Attachmer	• •							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 er No(s)/Mail Date	08)		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2654

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

1. A substitute specification including the claims is required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.125(a) because the amendment makes numerous changes or corrections to the specification which render it difficult to arrange the papers for printing or copying.

A substitute specification filed under 37 CFR 1.125(a) must only contain subject matter from the original specification and any previously entered amendment under 37 CFR 1.121. If the substitute specification contains additional subject matter not of record, the substitute specification must be filed under 37 CFR 1.125(b) and (c).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miner et al (US Patent No. 6,748,355) in view of Gulli (US Patent No. 5,826,232).

Miner discloses a method of sound synthesis for modeling and synthesizing dynamic parameterized sounds.

3. Regarding claims 1, 6, and 10, Miner teaches digitizing a sound sample (col. 4, lines 9-10); wavelet transforming the sound sample (col. 4, lines 41-57) and defining specific sound

Art Unit: 2654

characteristics based on the different transformation stages of the wavelet transform (col. 4, lines 9-57; col. 5, lines 22-51; col. 6, lines 49-51; col. 7, lines 44-65). Miner teaches the system results in perceptually convincing sound synthesis. Miner does not teach the sound to be synthesized is speech or a spoken expression.

Gulli teaches a method for voice analysis and synthesis using wavelets, and teaches the method makes it possible to synthesize speech as simply as possible (col. 2, lines 62-65).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to implement the sound synthesizing system of Miner to generate synthetic speech using wavelets, as suggested by Gulli, for the purpose of providing perceptually convincing synthetic speech.

Regarding claim 2, Miner does not teach a windowed transformation before the wavelet transformation. However, implementing a windowing transformation was well known in the art.

Gulli teaches the sample signal is cut up into frames ("windowed") of 128 points or frame durations of 12.8 ms.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to modify the system of Miner to provide for windowing of the digitized signal, as was well known in the art, for the purpose of separating the signal into a series of frames for easier processing.

Regarding claim 3, Miner and Gulli do not teach the windowing transformation is implemented with a fast Fourier transform. However, implementing a windowing transformation via a fast Fourier transform was well known in the art of signal processing.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to modify the sound synthesis system of Miner to implement a fast Fourier transform to transform the

Art Unit: 2654

signal to a frequency domain, as was well known in the art, for the purpose of providing the signal in the spectral domain which would facilitate speech analysis and processing.

Regarding claim 4, Miner teaches determining a low-pass and high-pass part of the signal to be transformed in each stage (Figure 2).

Regarding claim 5, Miner and Gulli do not teach the high pass part is subdivided into a real part and an imaginary part. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to subdivide the high pass section into a real and imaginary portion, so as to provide processing on the real part of the signal, since the imaginary part can be discarded in speech processing, and thereby reduce processing time of the system.

Regarding claim 7, Miner teaches the sound characteristics are an attribute of basic frequency and spectral envelope (col. 4, lines 9-57; col. 5, lines 22-51; col. 6, lines 49-51; col. 7, lines 44-65).

Regarding claims 8 and 9, Miner teaches providing concatenation of speech sounds (col. 4, lines 9-57; col. 5, lines 22-51; col. 6, lines 49-51; col. 7, lines 44-65; col. 8, lines 20-67).

Art Unit: 2654

#### Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Angela A. Armstrong whose telephone number is 703-308-6258. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richemond Dorvil can be reached on (703) 305-9645. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Angela A. Armstrong Examiner Art Unit 2654

AAA July 9, 2004

Angele amstrong